

April 13, 1933.

Mr. Charles R. Howe,
Arizona Corporation Commission,
Arizona State Building,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Dear Sir:

Your letter of April 10th to the Attorney General relative to House Bill No. 25 of the 1933 Legislature has been referred to me for attention. You have enclosed a set-up of certain statements that each person hired to do the work provided for by House Bill No. 25, supra, will be required to sign, and have asked the opinion of this office as to whether or not these statements are in accord with the provisions of the law.

I have examined the statements, together with the provisions of House Bill No. 25, and it is my opinion that these statements are in accordance with the provisions of the law, with the exception of the first. As to this statement, it is my opinion that the provisions of Section 1 of House Bill No. 25, supra, do not prohibit the payment by a public utility of any portion of the compensation, salary or expense of any person related by blood or marriage, within the third degree, to any of the regular employees of the Corporation Commission or to any State officer, his deputies, assistants or employees, but merely prohibit such payments to any person related by blood or marriage, within the third degree, to any member of the Corporation Commission. The following words, contained in the first statement, may be stricken therefrom:

"or its regular employees, or of any State officer, his deputies, assistants or employees."

Very truly yours,

Attorney General,

By

Assistant Attorney General.

JRM:MD

33-142